



U.S. Department of Justice

*United States Attorney
Southern District of New York*

*The Jacob K. Javits Federal Building
26 Federal Plaza
New York, New York 10278*

November 1, 2024

BY ECF

The Honorable Ronnie Abrams
United States District Judge
Southern District of New York
Thurgood Marshall U.S. Courthouse
40 Foley Square
New York, NY 10007

Re: United States v. Stone and Moore, 23 Cr. 409 (RA)

Dear Judge Abrams:

Earlier this week, the defense submitted a letter to the Government asserting that defendant Kyeone Stone “is in the throes of full-blown psychosis” and experiences auditory hallucinations. The letter asserts that the defendant “is a person who was well into his first episode of psychosis and whose paranoia and prior experiences with violence—and with [the murder victim], who [the defendant] knew as a violent and rage-filled individual—came together tragically the night of May 15, 2020 [i.e. the night of the robbery and murder].” As an exhibit to this letter, the defense included a report by a psychologist, Dr. Elie Aoun, who opined that the defendant was suffering from “prodromal” symptoms of psychosis beginning in his teens (and thus at the time of the murder). The defense submission did not include the raw data from the diagnostic tests administered by Dr. Aoun or any of the underlying medical records on which Dr. Aoun relied.¹

While the letter was sent to the Government in the context of plea negotiations,² and while the letter does not expressly assert an insanity defense or a defense of mental defect or disease, it suggests that the defendant may seek to assert one or both of those defenses at trial.³ If that is the case, Rule 12.2 requires formal notice to the Court and the Government, and Rule 12.2 and Rule 16 impose on the defendant specific disclosure obligations. See Fed. R. Crim. P. 12.2(a)–(d); Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(b)(1)(B), (C). Moreover, formal notice under Rule 12.2(a) or (b) would permit the Government to move the Court to order an independent psychological evaluation. Fed. R. Crim.

¹ The Government raised this issue with the defense, and understands that the defense is working to provide some of these materials to the Government.

² Because the letter was provided to the Government in connection with plea negotiations, the Government does not attach the letter or describe the status of any plea discussions.

³ The defense has specifically advised the Government that it does not challenge the defendant’s competency to stand trial.

